1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	KASRA KHADIVI DIMBALI, an Case No. 2:09-cv-02242-FCD-EFB CORDED DE CEUDIN A TRONTO
12	individual, ORDER RE STIPULATION TO EXTEND CONOCOPHILLIPS Division
13	Plaintiff,) COMPANY'S TIME TO RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT
14	vs.) REMOVAL FILED: 8/11/09
15	CONOCOPHILLIPS COMPANY, a Texas) RESPONSE DATE: 8/18/09 corporation and DOES 1 through 10,) NEW RESPONSE: 11/16/09
16	Inclusive,
17	Defendant.)
18	
19	FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN, THE COURT ORDERS that ConocoPhillips Company'
20	("COP") time to respond to Plaintiff's complaint is extended 90 days so that the Judicial Panel
21	on Multidistrict Litigation can rule on COP's request that this action be consolidated into MDL
22	No. 2040 as a "Tag-Along Action" pursuant to Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, Rule
23	7.4, and Plaintiff can file its motion for remand. Should the Panel not rule on COP's request
24	within 90 days, COP shall report further to the Court so that it may revisit whether a further stay
25	would be appropriate.
26	
27	
28	

Case 5:09-cv-06061-RMW Document 13 Filed 08/19/09 Page 2 of 2

1	Nothing herein shall prevent Plaintiff's ability to seek a temporary restraining order or
2	preliminary injunction against COP, during the 90 day period, before COP has responded to the
3	complaint.
4	Dated: August 18, 2009
5	Must C mm
6	FRANK C. DAMRELL, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	CIVILD STATES DISTRICT TODGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	